



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,030	06/20/2003	Kelvin S. Vartti	RA 5482 7121		
7590 03/10/2006			EXAMINER		
Beth L. McMahon			PEUGH, BRIAN R		
Unisys Corpora	tion			D - DDD - WD - DDD	
MS 4773			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O Box 64942		2187			
St. Paul, MN 55164			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/601,030	VARTTI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian R. Peugh	2187	

Potoro the Eiling of an Annual Priof							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian R. Peugh	2187					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened strabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because							
3. In e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	put prior to the date of filing a brief	r, will <u>not</u> be entered i	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c)☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	icated alaims					
		jected ciaims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		monliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
5. Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling							
the non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii Submitted iii a Separate,	, timely filed afficient	ient cancening				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of `				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>3,7-11,17,18,25-28,33 and 37</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected to: <u>3,7-11,17,10,23-28,33 and 31.</u>							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	No.	No(s)	-				
		7 1/0					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: At least the proposed amendment to claim 1, where the linked list is created "in the processing node", alters the claim limitation scope and thus would require further search and/or considersation by the Examiner.